

An inverse problem for the modified Camassa-Holm equation and multi-point Padé approximants

Xiang-ke Chang*

Jacek Szmigielski†

December 29, 2015

Abstract

In this Letter the main steps in the inverse spectral construction of a family of non-smooth solitons (*peakons*) to the modified Camassa-Holm equation are outlined. It is shown that the inverse problem is solvable in terms of multi-point Padé approximations.

Keywords: Inverse problem, peakons, Weyl function, continued fractions, Padé approximation.

MSC2000 classification: 35Q51, 34K29, 37J35, 35Q53, 34B05, 41A21.

The nonlinear partial differential equation

$$m_t + (u^2 - u_x^2)m)_x = 0, \quad m = u - u_{xx}, \quad (1)$$

is an intriguing modification of the Camassa-Holm equation $m_t + um_x + 2u_xm = 0$, $m = u - u_{xx}$ [2], for the shallow water waves. Originally, equation (1) appeared in the papers of Fokas [4], Fuchssteiner [5], Olver and Rosenau [10]. As mentioned by Fokas, this equation can also arise in the theory of nonlinear water waves. We are interested in the class of non-smooth solutions given by the peakon ansatz [2, 7, 13], that is, we assume $u = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j(t) e^{-|x-x_j(t)|}$, $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$, all m_j s are positive and hence $m = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \delta_{x_j}$ is a positive discrete measure. This forces us to view (1) as a distributional equation which requires that we define the product $u_x^2 m$. We will argue elsewhere that the choice consistent with integrability is to take $u_x^2 m$ to mean $\langle u_x^2 \rangle m$, where $\langle f \rangle$ denotes the average function (the arithmetic average of the right hand and left hand limits). Subsequently, equation (1) reduces to the system of ODEs:

$$\dot{m}_j = 0, \quad \dot{x}_j = u(x_j)^2 - \langle u_x(x_j)^2 \rangle. \quad (2)$$

We note that this system is not exactly the same as the one proposed in [7, 13]; the difference being precisely in the definition of the singular product $u_x^2 m$. In broad terms we can say that our general interest in (1) is to understand how integrability manifests itself in the non-smooth sector of solutions, in particular how it determines the properties of such singular operations.

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 5E6, Canada; changxk@lsec.cc.ac.cn

†Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, S7N 5E6, Canada; szmigiel@math.usask.ca

The Lax pair for (1) reads [11]: $\Psi_x = \frac{1}{2}U\Psi$, $\Psi_t = \frac{1}{2}V\Psi$, $\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{bmatrix}$ with

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \lambda m \\ -\lambda m & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 4\lambda^{-2} + Q & -2\lambda^{-1}(u - u_x) - \lambda m Q \\ 2\lambda^{-2}(u + u_x) + \lambda m Q & -Q \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = u^2 - u_x^2.$$

Performing the gauge transformation $\Phi = \text{diag}(e^{\frac{x}{2}}, e^{-\frac{x}{2}})\Psi$ results in a simpler x -equation

$$\Phi_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda h \\ -\lambda g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Phi, \quad g = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad h = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad (3)$$

where $g_j = m_j e^{-x_j}$, $h_j = m_j e^{x_j}$. We will be interested in solving the boundary value problem (3) with boundary conditions $\Phi_1(-\infty) = 0$, $\Phi_2(+\infty) = 0$. To make the boundary value problem well defined we require that Φ be left continuous and we define the terms $\Phi_a \delta_{x_j} = \Phi_a(x_j) \delta_{x_j}$, $a = 1, 2$. This choice makes the Lax pair well defined as a distributional Lax pair and the compatibility condition indeed implies (2). We furthermore remark that there is no nontrivial solution to this boundary value problem if $\lambda = 0$. The solution Φ is a piecewise constant function which, for convenience, we can normalize by setting $\Phi_2(-\infty) = 1$. The distributional boundary value problem is in our special case of the discrete measure m equivalent to a finite difference equation. Indeed, if we define $q_k = \frac{\Phi_1(x_k)}{\lambda}$, $p_k = \Phi_2(x_k)$, $z = \lambda^2$ then the difference form of the boundary value problem reads:

$$\begin{aligned} q_{k+1} - q_k &= h_k p_k, & 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ p_{k+1} - p_k &= -z g_k q_k, & 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ q_1 &= 0, \quad p_1 = 1, \quad p_{n+1} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $p_{n+1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_2(x)$, $q_{n+1} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi_1(x)}{\lambda}$. Solving the recurrence relations (4) by induction shows that $q_{k+1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ in z , and $p_{k+1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$, respectively. It follows that the cardinality of the spectrum of the boundary value problem (4) is at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$; in fact it is exactly $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ because, as we will argue below, the spectrum is simple (and positive).

For $x > x_n$, the t part of the Lax pair implies

$$\dot{q}_{n+1} = \frac{2}{z} q_{n+1} - \frac{2L}{z} p_{n+1}, \quad \dot{p}_{n+1} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $L = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j$. Thus $p_{n+1}(z)$ is independent of time and its zeros, i.e. the spectrum, are time invariant.

Note that the difference form of the boundary value problem admits two natural matrix presentations the first one of which is just the 2×2 matrix encoding of (4)

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{k+1} \\ p_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} = T_k \begin{bmatrix} q_k \\ p_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad T_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & h_k \\ -z g_k & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

We point out that the transition matrix T_k is significantly different from the difference equation for the inhomogeneous string boundary value problem discussed in [8] (Appendix A) which has $T_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & l_{k-1} \\ -z g_k & 1 - z g_k l_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$, thus an element of the group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The second formulation of the

boundary value problem is in terms of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. Indeed, let

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{bmatrix} q_2 \\ q_3 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} p_2 \\ p_3 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \text{diag}(g_2, g_3, \dots, g_n), \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ h_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & h_3 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_4 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & & h_{n-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and let $E = [\delta_{i,j-1}]$, E^T denote the unilateral shift matrix and its transpose respectively. Then (4) implies

$$\mathbf{p} = z(I - E)^{-1} G (I - E^T)^{-1} H \mathbf{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} zK\mathbf{p}.$$

Since in our case $h_j > 0$, $g_j > 0$, K is an irreducible totally non-negative matrix and all its non-zero eigenvalues are positive and simple by a result in [3]. Hence all non-zero reciprocals of eigenvalues, say ζ_j , solve $\det(I - zK) = 0$ and, after checking the normalization, we conclude that $p_{n+1}(z) = \det(I - zK)$, which in turn implies that the degree of $\det(I - zK)$ is exactly $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. We conclude this brief survey of the forward spectral map by introducing the Weyl function for the boundary value problem (4):

$$W(z) = \frac{q_{n+1}(z)}{p_{n+1}(z)},$$

which, in view of equations (5), undergoes a linear evolution $\dot{W} = \frac{2}{z}W - \frac{2L}{z}$. Since the spectrum is simple and $\deg q_{n+1} \leq \deg p_{n+1}$, $W(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{b_j}{\zeta_j - z} + c$ where $b_j(t) = b_j(0)e^{\frac{2t}{\zeta_j}}$, $c = c(0)$. Moreover, as it is demonstrated below, all residues satisfy $b_j(0) > 0$ and $c \geq 0$; more precisely $c > 0$ when n is odd and $c = 0$ for n even. Thus $W(z)$ can be written

$$W(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_{2n} + \int \frac{d\mu_{2n}(x)}{x - z}, \quad d\mu_{2n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} b_j^{(2n)} \delta_{\zeta_j}, \quad (7)$$

where the extra index $2n$ is introduced to facilitate the formulation of the inverse problem.

The inverse problem can be stated: given positive constants m_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and a rational function $W(z)$ with integral representation (7), we seek to invert the map $S: \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow W$. To solve the inverse problem we proceed in two stages: first we reconstruct positive coefficients g_j, h_j such that $g_j h_j = m_j^2$ then we use the relation $\frac{h_j}{g_j} = e^{2x_j}$ to determine x_j . The reconstruction of h_j, g_j amounts to solving a recurrence relation following the steps below:

1. starting with $w_{2n} = W(z)$ define $h_n = w_{2n}(-\frac{1}{m_n^2})$, $g_n = \frac{m_n^2}{h_n}$ and solve

$$w_{2n} = (1 + z m_n^2) w_{2n-1} + h_n, \quad \frac{1}{w_{2n-2}} = \frac{1}{w_{2n-1}} + z g_n,$$

for w_{2n-1} and w_{2n-2} ;

2. restart the procedure from w_{2n-2} shifting $n \rightarrow n-1$.

We remark that the procedure encodes solving (4) backwards using $w_{2j} = \frac{q_{j+1}}{p_{j+1}}$, $w_{2j-1} = \frac{q_j}{p_{j+1}}$. However, for the procedure to make sense, w_{2n-2} needs to be of the form (7). To see that this is indeed the case we use some old results of Stieltjes, appropriately adapted to our setup. More specifically, it follows from general results proved in [15] that any rational function $F(z)$ satisfying the spectral representation of the kind referred to in (7) has a continued fraction expansion

$$F(z) = c + \int \frac{d\mu(x)}{x-z} = c + \frac{1}{a_1(-z) + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3(-z) + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}$$

where all $a_j > 0$ and conversely any rational function with this type of continued fraction expansion has a spectral representation (7). Now we turn to analyzing w_{2n-2} . First, from the recurrence relation we easily get $h_n = c_{2n} + \int \frac{d\mu_{2n}(x)}{x + \frac{1}{m_n^2}} = c_{2n} + m_n^2 \int d\mu_{2n-1}(x)$ while solving for w_{2n-1} yields $w_{2n-1}(z) = \int \frac{d\mu_{2n-1}(x)}{x-z}$, where $d\mu_{2n-1} = \frac{d\mu_{2n}}{1+m_n^2 x}$, and thus

$$w_{2n-1}(z) = \frac{1}{a_1(-z) + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3(-z) + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}$$

for some $a_j > 0$. Next, we write

$$w_{2n-2} = \frac{1}{zg_n + \frac{1}{w_{2n-1}}} = \frac{1}{(g_n - a_1)z + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3(-z) + \frac{1}{\ddots}}}}$$

and observe that for w_{2n-2} to have the spectral representation (7) $g_n - a_1$ must be negative or 0. However, $\frac{1}{a_1} - \frac{1}{g_n} = \int d\mu_{2n-1}(x) - \frac{h_n}{m_n^2} = -\frac{c_{2n}}{m_n^2}$, hence $g_n - a_1 \leq 0$, which proves the existence of the spectral representation (7) for w_{2n-2} for some measure $d\mu_{2n-2}$ supported on a finite number of points in $\mathbf{R}_+$.

It is helpful to point out the following dichotomy: if $c_{2n} = 0$, which happens whenever n is even, then the support of w_{2n-2} has one less point in the spectrum of the corresponding measure compensated by the appearance of non-zero c_{2n-2} . If, on the other hand, n is odd, in which case $c_{2n} > 0$, then $c_{2n-2} = 0$ and the number of points in the support of $d\mu_{2n-2}$ does not differ from that of $d\mu_{2n}$. In either case, by iterating, one reaches w_2 which is a positive constant equal by definition to h_1 and the iteration stops. Moreover, the iteration can be reversed, that is starting from the set of $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$ of

strictly positive numbers, we can construct $w_2, w_3, \dots, w_{2n}$ with the initial input $w_2 = h_1$ that will solve the recurrence relation. This in particular proves that the spectral measure $d\mu_{2n}$ is a positive measure supported on $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ distinct positive numbers.

The iteration proposed above requires $2n - 2$ steps to reach w_2 , each step leading to a new input rational function w_j . All these functions are rational functions of the initial $W = w_{2n}$ and the variable z . The formulas for h_j get increasingly more complicated and a natural question presents itself: can one compute h_j using directly the spectral data c_{2n} and $d\mu_{2n}$? The answer is affirmative: there exist determinantal formulas for h_j and the origin of these formulas can be traced back to certain multipoint Padé approximation problem. We leave the details to a longer publication but provide the heuristics of the approximation problem. To this end we rewrite (6) in terms of the Weyl function $W = w_{2n}$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} W(z) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = T_n(z) T_{n-1}(z) \dots T_{n-j}(z) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q_{n-j}(z)}{p_{n+1}(z)} \\ \frac{p_{n+1}(z)}{p_{n-j}(z)} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Clearly, the transpose of the matrix of cofactors of each $T_j(z)$ is $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -h_j \\ zg_j & 1 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} C_j(z)$, which allows one to express equation (8) as

$$C_{n-j}(z) C_{n-j+1}(z) \dots C_n(z) \begin{bmatrix} W(z) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \det(T_n(z)) \det(T_{n-1}(z)) \dots \det(T_{n-j}(z)) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q_{n-j}(z)}{p_{n+1}(z)} \\ \frac{p_{n+1}(z)}{p_{n-j}(z)} \end{bmatrix},$$

which, recalling that $\det T_j(z) = 1 + zm_j^2$, implies

$$\left(C_{n-j}(z) C_{n-j+1}(z) \dots C_n(z) \begin{bmatrix} W(z) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \Big|_{z=-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}} = 0, \quad \text{for any } 0 \leq i \leq j. \quad (9)$$

If we denote the matrix of products of Cs by $\begin{bmatrix} a_j(z) & b_j(z) \\ c_j(z) & d_j(z) \end{bmatrix}$ then the polynomials $a_j(z), b_j(z), c_j(z), d_j(z)$ solve the following interpolation problem:

$$a_j\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right)W\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right) + b_j\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq j, \quad (10a)$$

$$\deg a_j = \lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor, \quad \deg b_j = \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor, \quad a_j(0) = 1, \quad (10b)$$

$$c_j\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right)W\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right) + d_j\left(-\frac{1}{m_{n-i}^2}\right) = 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq j, \quad (10c)$$

$$\deg c_j = \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor + 1, \quad \deg d_j = \lfloor \frac{j+1}{2} \rfloor, \quad c_j(0) = 0, \quad d_j(0) = 1, \quad (10d)$$

which is an example of a *Cauchy-Jacobi interpolation problem* [6, 9, 14] studied as part of a general multi-point Padé approximation theory [1].

In the second stage of solving the inverse problem we need to solve for $x_j = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{h_j}{g_j}$. Since both h_j, g_j are strictly positive the solution exists but it may violate the ordering condition $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$.

In the forthcoming paper we propose a set of sufficient conditions on m_i s which depend only on the spectrum but not on the residues b_j thus ensuring the global in t existence of peakon solutions described in this paper.

We conclude this Letter by citing a few computational results from the forthcoming paper in which we provide the details of the complete solution to the peakon problem for the modified CH equation (1). We also highlight sufficient conditions for the global existence of solutions. We recall that the only time dependence is in the evolution of the residues: $b_j(t) = b_j(0)e^{\frac{2t}{\zeta_j}}$.

Example 0.1 (2-peakon solution: $\frac{1}{\zeta_1} < m_1 m_2$).

$$x_1 = \ln \left(\frac{b_1}{\zeta_1 m_1 (1 + \zeta_1 m_2^2)} \right), \quad x_2 = \ln \left(\frac{b_1 m_2}{1 + \zeta_1 m_2^2} \right).$$

Example 0.2 (4-peakon solution: $\frac{1}{\zeta_1} < m_1 m_2$, $\frac{\zeta_2}{\zeta_1^2} < m_3 m_4$, $\frac{m_2 m_3}{(1 + \zeta_1 m_2^2)(1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2)} < \frac{\zeta_1}{(\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)^2}$).

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \ln \left(\frac{1}{m_1} \cdot \frac{b_1 b_2 (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)^2}{\zeta_1 \zeta_2 (b_1 \zeta_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_2^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 \zeta_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_2^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))} \right), \\ x_2 &= \ln \left(m_2 \cdot \frac{b_1 b_2 (\zeta_2 - \zeta_1)^2 (b_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))}{(b_1 \zeta_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 \zeta_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \frac{1}{(b_1 \zeta_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_2^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 \zeta_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_2^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))} \right), \\ x_3 &= \ln \left(\frac{1}{m_3} \cdot \frac{(b_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2)) (b_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))}{(1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) (b_1 \zeta_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 \zeta_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_3^2) (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2))} \right), \\ x_4 &= \ln \left(m_4 \cdot \frac{b_1 (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2) + b_2 (1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2)}{(1 + \zeta_1 m_4^2) (1 + \zeta_2 m_4^2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] G. Baker and P. Graves-Morris. *Padé Approximants*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] R. Camassa and D. D. Holm. An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71(11):1661–1664, 1993.
- [3] S. M. Fallat, M. I. Gekhtman, and C. R. Johnson. Spectral structures of irreducible totally nonnegative matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 22(2):627–645 (electronic), 2000.
- [4] A. Fokas. The Korteweg-de Vries equation and beyond. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 39(1-3):295–305, 1995.
- [5] B. Fuchssteiner. Some tricks from the symmetry-toolbox for nonlinear equations: generalizations of the Camassa-Holm equation. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 95(3):229–243, 1996.
- [6] A. A. Gončar and G. L. Lopes. On Markov’s theorem for multipoint Padé approximants. *Math. USSR Sb.*, 34:449–459, 1978.

- [7] G. Gui, Y. Liu, P. Olver, and C. Qu. Wave-breaking and peakons for a modified Camassa–Holm equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 319(3):731–759, 2013.
- [8] H. Lundmark and J. Szmigielski. Degasperis-Procesi peakons and the discrete cubic string. *Int. Math. Res. Pap.*, 2005(2):53–116, 2005.
- [9] J. Meinguet. *On the solubility of the Cauchy interpolation problem*. A. Talbot (Ed.), Approximation Theory, Academic Press, London, 2007.
- [10] P. Olver and P. Rosenau. Tri-Hamiltonian duality between solitons and solitary-wave solutions having compact support. *Phys. Rev. E*, 53(2):1900, 1996.
- [11] Z. Qiao. A new integrable equation with cuspons and W/M-shape-peaks solitons. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(11):112701–112900, 2006.
- [12] Z. Qiao. New integrable hierarchy, its parametric solutions, cuspons, one-peak solitons, and M/W-shape peak solitons. *J. Math. Phys.*, 48(8):082701, 2007.
- [13] Z. Qiao, B. Xia, and J. Li. Integrable system with peakon, weak kink, and kink-peakon interactional solutions. *arXiv:1205.2028*, 2012.
- [14] H. Stahl. Existence and uniqueness of rational interpolants with free and prescribed poles. In *Approximation Theory, Lect. Notes Math. Vol. 1287*, pages 180–208. Springer, 1987.
- [15] T.-J. Stieltjes. Recherches sur les fractions continues. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Sci. Math. Sci. Phys.*, 8(4):J1–J122, 1894.